

frontage of forty (40') feet, and being in and a part of Tract No. 13, Coventry Township, Summit County, Ohio. This lease is for a renewal of a lease originally granted to the grantee herein under date of May 17, 1924.

Upon examination of this lease, I find that the same has been properly executed by you as Commissioner of the Division of Conservation and Natural Resources and by said lessee. I further find, upon consideration of the provisions of this lease and of the conditions and restrictions therein contained, that the same are in conformity with Section 471, General Code, under the authority of which this lease is executed, and with other statutory enactments relating to leases of this kind.

I am accordingly approving this lease as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1074.

ABSTRACT OF TITLE, DEED, ETC., CONVEYANCE TO STATE, DESIGNATED LAND, OUTLOT NO. 31, VILLAGE OF OXFORD, BUTLER COUNTY, BY MARY E. ROGERS, SUBJECT TO ANNUAL GROUND RENT, USE, MIAMI UNIVERSITY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 21, 1939.

HON. W. P. ROUDEBUSH, *Secretary, Board of Trustees, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: This is to acknowledge the receipt of your recent communication, submitting for my examination and approval an abstract of title, warranty deed and contract encumbrance record No. 1763, relating to the proposed purchase of a parcel of land which is owned of record by one Mary E. Rogers, in Butler County, Ohio, and which is more particularly and more fully described as being all of Outlot No. 31, on the plat of the Village of Oxford, Butler County, Ohio, together with all buildings thereon and appurtenances thereunto belonging, and pertaining and being subject to the payment of an annual Miami University ground rent of \$1.32 on May 22nd of each and every year.

Upon examination of the abstract of title of the above described property, which abstract is certified by the abstractor under date of July 17, 1939, I find that the said Mary E. Rogers has a good and indefeasible title by way of a perpetual leasehold interest therein, and she

owns and holds this property free and clear of all encumbrances except local taxes and delinquencies which are a lien thereon.

Upon examination of the warrantly deed tendered by Mary E. Rogers, a widow, I find that such deed has been properly executed and acknowledged by the grantor and the form of this deed is such as to convey to the President and Trustees of Miami University all the right, title and interest therein which said Mary E. Rogers owns and holds in this property; and that upon delivery and acceptance of the deed the President and Trustees of Miami University, by virtue thereof, will then own and hold an indefeasible fee simple title to the land and premises described in said deed, together with all the privileges and appurtenances thereunto belonging.

Upon examination of contract encumbrance record No. 1763, I find that the same has been properly executed and that there is shown thereby a sufficient balance in the land rents account to the credit of Miami University to pay the purchase price of this property, which purchase price is the sum of \$5,000.00.

I am therefore approving the abstract of title and am herewith forwarding the same to you for your further attention in closing this transaction.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1075.

BONDS—CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 21, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Re: Bonds of Cuyahoga County Ohio, \$10,000, (Limited).

GENTLEMEN: The above purchase of bonds appears to be a part of one or two issues of refunding bonds of the aggregate amount of \$1,200,000 (Series A) and \$2,600,000 (Series B), respectively, of the above county, dated October 1, 1936. The transcript relative to each issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of October 2, 1936, being Opinion No. 6141.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.