

5753.

APPROVAL—REVISED CONTRACT FOR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT IN LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 26, 1936.

HON. JOHN J. JASTER, JR., *Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.*

5754.

APPROVAL—CONTRACT FOR HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT IN LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 27, 1936.

HON. JOHN JASTER, JR., *Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.*

5755.

APPROVAL—TWO LEASES TO LAND IN BOSTON AND TALLMADGE TOWNSHIPS, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, FOR STATE GAME REFUGE PURPOSES—AKRON COUNCIL OF GIRL SCOUTS, SUMMIT COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 27, 1936.

HON. L. WOODDELL, *Commissioner, Division of Conservation, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval two certain leases executed to the State of Ohio by property owners in Boston and Tallmadge Townships, Summit County, Ohio, leasing and demising to the State for the purpose therein stated tracts of land in said townships and county.

The leases here in question, designated with respect to the number of the lease, the owner of the property and the acreage of land covered by the respective leases, are as follows:

Number	Name	Acreage
2344	Akron Council of Girl Scouts	206
2345	Summit County Commissioners	426

Each of these leases is for a term of five (5) years and in each instance the property described is leased to the state for the sole purpose of a state game refuge. And in this connection, it is noted that as to each of these leases the Conservation Council, acting through you as Conservation Commissioner, has made an order setting aside the lands described in the lease for the purpose of a state game and bird refuge, as provided for in section 1435-1, General Code.

Upon examination of these leases, I find that the same have been executed and acknowledged by the respective lessors in the manner provided by law. I also find upon examination of the provisions of these leases and of the conditions and restrictions therein contained, that the same are in conformity with statutory provisions relating to the execution of leases of this kind.

I might state, however, that one of the copies of Lease No. 2344 does not bear the seal of the Notary Public taking the acknowledgment. Inasmuch as the law does not require the lessee to acknowledge the signature, it is not necessary to return the same to have the lessor's signature acknowledged. However, I would suggest that you retain the copy for your files upon which the Notary's seal appears.

I am accordingly approving these leases as to legality and form as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the several leases and upon the duplicate copies thereof, and am returning the same herewith.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.