

1654.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CROSS CREEK RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, OHIO, \$95,000.00 (Unlimited).

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 21, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Cross Creek Rural School Dist., Jefferson County, Ohio, \$95,000.00 (Unlimited).

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of school building and equipment bonds dated December 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of $3\frac{1}{4}\%$ per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1655.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF VILLAGE OF WESTERVILLE FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 21, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Village of Westerville, Franklin County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above village dated February 1, 1922. The transcript relative to

this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your commission under date of May 5, 1922, being Opinion No. 3063.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said village.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1656.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA
COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 21, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated February 1, 1929. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of November 17, 1933, being Opinion No. 1883.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.