

3022.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF EUCLID CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO—\$3,250.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 10, 1934.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

3023.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF FAIRVIEW VILLAGE, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO—\$85,250.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO; August 10, 1934.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

3024.

APPROVAL, BOND FOR THE FAITHFUL PERFORMANCE OF HIS DUTIES AS DEPUTY RESIDENT DISTRICT DIRECTOR, STARK COUNTY—LUTHER K. ZERBE.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 10, 1934.

HON. O. W. MERRELL, *Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted a bond, in the penal sum of \$5,000.00, with surety as indicated, to cover the faithful performance of the duties of the official as hereinafter listed:

Luther K. Zerbe, Resident District Deputy Director, Stark County—
The United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company of Baltimore, Maryland.

The above listed bond is undoubtedly executed pursuant to the provisions of sections 1183 and 1182-3, General Code, which state, in so far as pertinent:

Sec. 1183. “* * * Such resident district deputy directors shall give bond in the sum of five thousand dollars. * * *”

Sec. 1182-3. “* * * All bonds hereinbefore provided for shall be conditioned upon the faithful discharge of the duties of their respective positions, and such bonds * * * shall be approved as to the sufficiency of the sureties by the director (of highways), and as to legality and form by the attorney general, and be deposited with the secretary. * * *”
(Words in parenthesis the writer’s.)

Finding the above listed bond to have been properly executed pursuant to the above statutory provisions, I have approved the same as to form, and return it herewith.

Respectfully,
JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

3025.

BOARD OF EDUCATION—MAY NOT REFUSE USE OF SCHOOL BUILDING AND GROUNDS FOR GRANGE MEETINGS WHEN.

SYLLABUS:

A Board of Education cannot lawfully refuse to permit the use of a school building and grounds under its control for the holding of meetings of grange organizations when the building and grounds are not in actual use for school purposes, providing the janitor fees and other proper expenses incident to such use is paid by the grange, subject, of course, to proper and reasonable regulations imposed by the Board.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 11, 1934.

HON. RUSSELL M. WILHELM, *Prosecuting Attorney, Marion, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—This will acknowledge the receipt of your request for my opinion which reads as follows:

“We have been requested by the Caledonia Village School Board to secure your formal opinion on the following matter:

STATEMENT OF FACT.

The Village School Board of the Village of Caledonia has under its control a school building in the Village of Caledonia. The local Grange, which is an order with whose purposes you are undoubtedly familiar, insists that they have the right to the use of the Village School building for their meetings.

It is my understanding that these meetings are private in their nature, and that various degrees of the order are conferred at such meetings. The local Village School Board refuses to permit the Grange organization to use the school building for meetings. It is my further understanding that some of these meetings are not confined to the local organization but include representatives from other districts and are sometimes joint meetings of several different Granges.

QUESTION.

May the local School Board refuse the use of the school building to this organization, if the organization pays for the expenses such as light, heat, etc.?”