

2781.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$100,000.00—PART OF ISSUE APRIL 1, 1938 of \$593,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 2, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,
\$100,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of indebtedness liquidating bonds in the aggregate amount of \$593,000, dated April 1, 1938, bearing interest at the rate of 3¼ % per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2782.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF PARMA VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$1,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 2, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Parma Village School Dist., Cuyahoga
County, Ohio, \$1,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated March 1, 1924. The transcript

relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of April 14, 1937, being Opinion No. 458.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2783.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA
COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 2, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated December 1, 1917. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of April 15, 1938, being Opinion No. 2319.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.