

Although the compulsory education law has been changed since the decision in that case, it can be said that the statutes relating to compulsory education laws at that time like the present, made no provision for establishing venue in the prosecution of offenses against compulsory education laws.

I wish to call attention to the fact that in 56 Corpus Juris, 830, the case of *Grahn vs. State of Ohio, supra*, and *Pcople vs. Saddlemire*, 180 N. Y. S., 257, are cited to substantiate the following principle of law:

“The trial of an offense against a compulsory education law should be had within the bounds of the school district where the offense occurred, which is in the district where the child resides, before a court or officer having jurisdiction of the offense, and on a proper complaint, and following the procedure stipulated by statute.”

Specifically answering your question it is my opinion that, since under the provisions of Section 7769-1, *supra*, the authority of the Attendance Officer includes the territory of the entire county school district, it is for the Attendance Officer of Trumbull County to do the work necessary to institute proceedings against the parent residing in Wayne Township, Ashtabula County, for failure to send his child to school and the criminal prosecution must be had in Ashtabula County.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1698.

APPROVAL—GRANTS OF EASEMENT EXECUTED TO THE STATE OF OHIO BY SEVERAL PROPERTY OWNERS IN LIBERTY, JACKSON AND LOUDEN TOWNSHIPS, SENECA COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 30, 1937.

HON. L. WOODDELL, *Conservation Commissioner, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval certain grants of easement, executed to the State of Ohio, by several property owners in Liberty, Jackson and Loudon Townships, Seneca

County, Ohio, conveying to the State of Ohio, for the purposes therein stated, certain tracts of land in said townships and county.

The grants of easement here in question, designated with respect to the number of the instrument and the name of the grantor, are as follows:

Number	Name
1239	Ellen F. Bennett
1240	U. S. Grant Foster
1241	John D. Naugle
1242	Robert L. Gwiner
1243	Ellen F. Bennett
1244	John Bigham
1245	William H. Omwake
1246	Anthony G. Gase
1247	William H. Omwake
1248	V. N. Keller
1249	V. N. Keller
1250	William H. Omwake
1251	William H. Omwake
1252	Wilmer Painter
1253	Harry N. Ward
1254	James E. Morris
1255	E. Rinebold
1256	Martin E. Brenamen

By the above grants there is conveyed to the State of Ohio, certain lands described therein, for the sole purpose of using said lands for public fishing grounds, and to that end to improve the waters or water courses passing through and over said lands.

Upon examination of the above instruments, I find that the same have been executed and acknowledged by the respective grantors in the manner provided by law and am accordingly approving the same as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed thereon, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.