

such students are eligible to take the state board examination. If the public schools in question meet all the requirements laid down in Section 1082-17, General Code, supra, they can evidently train the students with the same degree of competence as private schools of cosmetology and thus the primary object of the legislature is adequately met.

Specifically answering your inquiry, it is my opinion that:

1. Public junior high schools, regular high schools and public trade schools may be licensed by the State Board of Cosmetology, if they meet the requirements laid down in Section 1082-17, General Code. To be approved schools of cosmetology, the instructors in such schools teaching cosmetical subjects must be licensed by the State Board of Cosmetology.

2. Public high schools, public junior high schools, and public trade schools are not required to pay the one hundred dollars (\$100.00) license fee, provided in Section 1082-16, General Code, in order to be approved by the State Board of Cosmetology.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General

2716.

APPROVAL, PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO APPLICATION MADE BY THE THOMAS PHILLIPS COMPANY OF AKRON, OHIO, FOR REDUCTION IN DELINQUENT AND CURRENT ANNUAL RENTALS UPON LEASE OF OHIO AND ERIE CANAL LANDS IN CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 23, 1934.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval the report of your finding upon an application made by The Thos. Phillips Company of Akron, Ohio, for a reduction in the delinquent and current annual rental payable by said company upon a lease of Ohio and Erie Canal lands in the City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio, which canal lands are now occupied and used by said company for general business building purposes.

The lease here in question, which bears serial number O&E 629, was executed under date of August 30, 1929, for a term of fifteen years, expiring August 29, 1944, and the same provided for an annual rental of \$1500.00. It appears from your finding that the lessee is delinquent in the payment of its rental upon this lease for the period from November 1, 1933, to May 1, 1934, amounting to the sum of \$750.00. And, as above noted, the application filed with you is for a reduction in the amount of this delinquent rental as well as for a reduction in the amount of the current rent on this lease from May 1, 1934, to May 1, 1935.

This application for an adjustment of delinquent and current rentals under this lease was filed with you on or about the 17th day of November, 1933, pursuant to the provisions of House Bill No. 467, which was passed by the 90th Gen-

eral Assembly under date of June 8, 1933, and which became effective on the 11th day of October, 1933. 115 O. L. 512. By the provisions of this act, the Superintendent of Public Works, with the approval of the Governor and Attorney General, is authorized to make a rental adjustment of unpaid rentals on existing canal land leases, as well as to make an adjustment of current rentals for a period of one year in advance beginning with the next semi-annual rental payment date provided for in such leases. Such rental readjustment can be made by the Superintendent of Public Works only upon an application therefor made by the lessee in the manner and form provided for in section 3 of said act, in and by which application, among other things, the lessee is required to set forth the reasons why such rentals should be revised. In the application filed by the lessee with you as Superintendent of Public Works, the reason assigned for the reductions requested by the lessee is that in 1929 the company had expected to make some use of part of the property and sub-lease balance, but great change in business conditions makes it evident now that it cannot make any use of the property for a long time to come and there is no demand for such property at present for sub-leasing; that it has never made any use of any part of property or received any income from it whatsoever; and that the valuation set on the property in 1929 is now much too high.

Acting upon this application, you have made a finding in and by which you have granted to said lessee a reduction in the amount of its delinquent rental under this lease from \$750.00 to \$412.50 and you have reduced the current rental under this lease for the period from May 1, 1934, to May 1, 1935, from \$1500.00 to \$750.00.

Upon examination of the proceedings relating to this matter, including the application for the reduction in the rentals above referred to, I am inclined to the view that they are in substantial conformity with the statutory provisions outlined in House Bill No. 467 and the same are accordingly hereby approved by me as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed in and upon the resolution of approval which is made a part of the proceedings relating to the reduction of said rentals, and upon the copies thereof, all of which, together with the duplicate copies of your findings and the application, are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

2717.

APPROVAL, PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO APPLICATION MADE BY THE QUAKER OATS COMPANY OF CHICAGO, ILL., FOR REDUCTION IN DELINQUENT AND CURRENT ANNUAL RENTALS UPON LEASE OF OHIO AND ERIE CANAL LANDS IN CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 23, 1934.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You recently submitted for my approval the report of your finding