

2646.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$15,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED AUGUST 1, 1930.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 27, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.
GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$15,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated August 1, 1930. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of September 19, 1934, being Opinion No. 3228.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2647.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MARCH 1, 1919.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 27, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*
GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,
\$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1919. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to

the State Employees Retirement Board under date of October 29, 1935, being Opinion No. 4841.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2648.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY,
OHIO, \$2,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED FEBRUARY 1,
1921.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 27, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employees Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,
\$2,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated February 1, 1921. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of July 13, 1935, being Opinion No. 4417.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.