

day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other documents submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1870.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED DECEMBER 15, 1936.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 3, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.
GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,
\$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated December 15, 1936. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of December 15, 1936, being Opinion No. 6525.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1871.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF YOUNGSTOWN, MAHONING COUNTY, OHIO, \$13,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 3, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Youngstown, Mahoning County,
Ohio, \$13,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated October 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of November 13, 1935, being Opinion No. 4892.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1872.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA
COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MARCH
1, 1931.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 3, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of May 21, 1934, being Opinion No. 2701.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.