

2835.

## APPROVAL BONDS OF MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO—\$15,090.33.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 16, 1931.

Re: Bonds of Medina County, Ohio—\$15,090.33.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:—I have examined the transcript of the proceedings of the board of county commissioners and other officers of Medina County, relative to the above issue of bonds, and find the same to be regular and in conformity with the provisions of the Constitution and General Code of Ohio.

I am of the opinion that bonds issued under the proceedings set forth in the transcript, which is an authenticated copy of the proceedings of said officials, will, upon delivery, constitute a valid and binding obligation of said county.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,  
*Attorney General.*

2836.

APPROVAL, LEASE TO OHIO CANAL LAND IN BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP,  
STARK COUNTY, OHIO FOR RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY PURPOSES—  
WHEELING AND LAKE ERIE RAILWAY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 16, 1931.

*HON. A. T. CONNAR, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—This is to acknowledge receipt of your recent communication submitting for my examination and approval two certain canal land leases in triplicate, executed by the state of Ohio through you as superintendent of public works and as director of said department, by which, in consideration of the rentals therein respectively provided for, there are leased and demised to the Wheeling and Lake Erie Railway Company for railroad right of way purposes two certain parcels of abandoned Ohio Canal lands containing 1.16 acres and .177 acres respectively, the first of which parcels so leased is in Section 27, Township 9, Range 9, Bethlehem Township, Stark County, Ohio, and the second of which parcels is located in the village of Navarre, Stark County, Ohio. Each of said parcels is more particularly described by metes and bounds in the instrument of lease by which the same is leased and demised.

The leases here in question were executed by you under the authority of Amended Senate Bill 235, passed by the 88th General Assembly, April 6, 1929, which provided for the abandonment for canal purposes of that portion of the Ohio Canal, and lateral canals connected therewith, lying within Stark County, and providing for the lease and sale thereof.

In the consideration of said leases, both of which are executed to the railway company above named, I assume that the abandoned Ohio Canal, at the points indicated in said leases, has not been retained for hydraulic purposes, as provided for in said act, to be used for this purpose in a manner inconsistent with the contemplated use