

of the State of Ohio, by which there are leased and demised certain parcels of land adjacent to Buckeye Lake in Licking County, Ohio, which said parcels of land are more particularly described in said respective leases. The leases above referred to are the following:

<i>Lessee</i>	<i>Valuation</i>
Ella Hildebrandt.....	\$250 00
F. H. Hildebrandt and W. D. Weltner.....	250 00

An examination of the leases above noted shows that they have been executed in conformity with the provisions of Section 471 and other sections of the General Code relating to the execution of leases of this kind. Said leases are, accordingly, hereby approved, and my approval is endorsed on said leases and the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

156.

APPROVAL, LEASES TO LANDS NEAR LAKE ST. MARYS, AUGLAIZE COUNTY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 6, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my examination and approval certain leases executed by you as Superintendent of Public Works, as Director thereof, on behalf of the State of Ohio, by which there were leased and demised certain parcels of land adjacent to Lake St. Marys, in Auglaize County, Ohio. The leases referred to are the following:

<i>Lessee</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Valuation</i>
J. T. Kaufman,	Section 4, Township 6 So., Mercer County.....	\$400 00
Irwin G. Patch,	Section 17, Township 6, Auglaize County.....	250 00
Dosia Waggoner,	Section 12, Township 6, Auglaize County.....	200 00

An examination of the above noted leases shows that the same have been executed in conformity with the provisions of Section 471, General Code, and other statutory provisions applicable in the execution of leases of this kind. Said leases are, therefore, hereby approved and my approval is endorsed on the original and duplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

157.

HOUSE BILL NO. 210—CONSTITUTIONAL BUT UNNECESSARY ACT—
PROOF OF BENEFIT TO ADJOINING LAND OWNERS IN ASSESS-
ING COSTS OF PARTITION FENCE UNNECESSARY.

SYLLABUS:

House Bill No. 210, providing for the enactment of supplemental Section 5908-1 of the General Code, considered.