



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
 Investigative Report



2025-2000
 Officer Involved Critical Incident - Tiedeman Road at I-480
 West, Brooklyn, OH 44144, Cuyahoga County

Investigative Activity: Review of BCI Firearms Comparison Report
Involves: Patrick Kerr (S)
Activity Date: 07/30/2025
Activity Location: BCI - Richfield
Authoring Agent: SA Joseph Lamping #184

Narrative:

On Wednesday, July 30, 2025, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Joe Lamping (SA Lamping) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on July 8, 2025, for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 25-35267). The report originated from the Firearms section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Regan Lambert.

SA Lamping reviewed the laboratory report and noted the following:

Two firearms, a .308 Winchester bolt action rifle (Matrix Item 001) and a Glock 45 9mm pistol (Matrix Item 007), were submitted to the laboratory for evaluation along with one fired bullet jacket fragment consisting of copper/lead (Matrix Item 024) and fired cartridge casings in 9mm Luger (Matrix Item 008) and .308 Winchester (Matrix Item 002).

The .308 Winchester bolt action rifle was determined to be operable and was found to be the source of Matrix Item 002. The Glock 45 had previously been tested and determined operable, and it was found to be the source of Matrix Item 008.

Matrix Item 024, four copper fragments and three lead fragments were determined to be unsuitable for comparison. Though Matrix Item 024 is listed as unsuitable, it did have similar class characteristics to Matrix Item 001 but could not be linked definitively. The snippet from the laboratory report to the right details Forensic Scientist Regan Lambert's findings:

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #1: Surgeon Rifles rifle	N/A	Operable
	Item #2: One (1) fired 308 Winchester cartridge case	Source Identification
	Item #6: One (1) fired bullet jacket fragment	Inconclusive**
Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #4: Glock pistol	N/A	Operable***
	Item #5: One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case	Source Identification
	Item #6: One (1) fired bullet jacket fragment	Source Exclusion
Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #6: Four (4) copper fragments and three (3) lead fragments.	N/A	Unsuitable^

**Similar class characteristics but insufficient corresponding individual characteristics to identify or exclude.
 ^Insufficient class and/or individual characteristics present.

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2025-2000
Officer Involved Critical Incident - Tiedeman Road at I-480
West, Brooklyn, OH 44144, Cuyahoga County

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

References:

None

Attachments:

1. BCI Lab - Firearms 25-35267

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



DAVE YOST

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report
Firearms

To: BCI / Richfield
Allison Fletcher
4055 Highlander Parkway
Richfield, OH 44286

BCI Laboratory Number: 25-35267

Analysis Date: July 22, 2025

Issue Date: July 30, 2025

Agency Case Number: 2025-2000
BCI Agent: Bernard Doran

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer
Subject(s):
Victim(s):

Submitted on 07/08/2025 by Betsy Turney

1. One cardboard box containing firearm (serial # [REDACTED]) with magazine and cartridges (Matrix CSU 001); **SERIAL NUMBER FOUND TO BE [REDACTED]**
 - One (1) Surgeon Rifles 308 Winchester bolt-action rifle, model unknown, serial number [REDACTED] one (1) magazine, and four (4) 308 Winchester cartridges.*
2. Envelope containing cartridge case (Matrix CSU 002)
 - One (1) fired 308 Winchester cartridge case.
4. One cardboard box containing firearm (serial #BXCS766) with magazine and cartridges recovered from the scene (Matrix 007, CSU 008)
 - One (1) Glock 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model 45, serial number BXCS766, one (1) magazine, and thirteen (13) 9mm Luger cartridges.
5. Envelope containing cartridge case recovered from the scene (Matrix 008, CSU 010)
 - One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.
6. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet jacket fragment, four (4) copper fragments, and three (3) lead fragments.

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #1: Surgeon Rifles rifle	N/A	Operable
	Item #2: One (1) fired 308 Winchester cartridge case	Source Identification
	Item #6: One (1) fired bullet jacket fragment	Inconclusive**

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #4: Glock pistol	N/A	Operable***
	Item #5: One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case	Source Identification
	Item #6: One (1) fired bullet jacket fragment	Source Exclusion

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #6: Four (4) copper fragments and three (3) lead fragments.	N/A	Unsuitable^

**Similar class characteristics but insufficient corresponding individual characteristics to identify or exclude.

^Insufficient class and/or individual characteristics present.

Remarks

*The firearm from Item #1 was observed to have a Surgeon Rifles action / barrel with an Accuracy International stock / chassis system. No model or caliber was visible on the firearm. Cartridges were chambered before firing and 308 Winchester was ultimately used for testing.

The cartridges submitted with Item #1 were used for testing Item #1.

***Operability testing on Item #4 was previously conducted by myself and reported in a report issued by myself on July 19, 2025. Test fires were generated by myself during that testing and then used for microscopic comparisons. No further operability testing was conducted at this time.

There were no pertinent findings regarding the cartridges submitted with Item #4.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Regan C. Lambert

Regan Lambert
Forensic Scientist
(234) 400-3711
Regan.Lambert@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q9VQHL5>

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:
Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Regan C. Lambert

Statement of Qualifications

Regan.Lambert@OhioAGO.gov

Education

- Bachelor of Science in Applied Science in Forensic Science. December 2021. Youngstown State University. Youngstown, OH.

Professional Experience

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Scientist. September 2022 – present.
- Portage County Coroner's Office. Forensic Investigator. May 2022 – September 2022.
- Youngstown Police Department. Police/Crime Lab Intern. May 2021- July 2021.

Required Technical Training

- Smith & Wesson Armorer's Course. March 2024. Columbus, OH
- Hi-Point Firearms Factory Tour. October 2023. Mansfield, OH.
- Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives. NIBIN Triage and Acquisition. April 2023.
- Glock Armorer's Course. November 2022. Avon, OH.
- Annual Midwest AFTE Firearm Training Seminar. October 2022. Indiana State Police Crime Laboratory.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms training. September 2022 – January 2024.

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated: 7/25/2024