3033.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP, ROAD DISTRICT, SENECA COUNTY, IN AMOUNT OF $4,500.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 27, 1922.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

Re.: Bonds of Jackson Township, Seneca County, Ohio, Road District, $4,500, for the improvement of Stoner Road No. 41.

GENTLEMEN:—The bonds under consideration were issued by the trustees of Jackson township, Seneca county, Ohio, in anticipation of the collection of taxes for the improvement of roads within Jackson township, Seneca county, road district, which comprises all of Jackson township lying outside of the city of Fostoria. The proceedings for this bond issue were identical with the proceedings for the issuance of bonds by said Jackson township, Seneca county, Ohio, road district for the improvement of Vrooman Road No. 64, which I advised the Industrial Commission, in Opinion No. 3032, not to purchase.

For the reasons stated in said opinion, to which reference is made, I advise the Industrial Commission not to purchase the bond issue under consideration.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.

3034.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP, ROAD DISTRICT, SENECA COUNTY, IN AMOUNT OF $6,000.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 27, 1922.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

Re.: Bonds of Jackson Township, Seneca County, Ohio, Road District, $6,000, for the improvement of Grove Road No. 33, Section B.

GENTLEMEN:—The bonds under consideration were issued by the trustees of Jackson township, Seneca county, Ohio, in anticipation of the collection of taxes for the improvement of roads within Jackson township, Seneca county, road district, which district comprises all of Jackson township lying outside of the city of Fostoria. The proceedings for this bond issue were identical with the proceedings for the issuance of bonds by said Jackson township, Seneca county, Ohio, road district for the improvement of Vrooman Road No. 64, which I advised the Industrial Commission, in Opinion No. 3032, not to purchase.

For the reasons stated in said opinion, to which reference is made, I advise the Industrial Commission not to purchase the bond issue under consideration.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.