

Ohio and Director of State Armories, and the E. C. Reitz Company of Bellevue, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of electrical work for a project known as Commercial Center, Camp Perry, Ohio, in accordance with the form of proposal dated April 13, 1936. Said contract calls for an expenditure of two thousand three hundred and ninety dollars (\$2,390.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted a certificate of the Controlling Board showing that such board has released moneys for this project in accordance with section 8 of House Bill No. 531, of the regular session of the 91st General Assembly.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford, Connecticut, appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the law relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,  
*Attorney General.*

---

5474.

APPROVAL—CONTRACT FOR PLUMBING AND SEWERAGE  
FOR PROJECT KNOWN AS COMMERCIAL CENTER,  
CAMP PERRY, OHIO, \$4,675.00, HARTFORD ACCIDENT  
AND INDEMNITY COMPANY OF HARTFORD, CONN.,  
SURETY—FRANKLIN D. SMITH, FREMONT, OHIO, CON-  
TRACTOR.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 6, 1936.

HON. EMIL F. MARX, *Adjutant General of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by and through yourself as Adjutant General of Ohio and Director of State Armories, and Franklin D. Smith, of Fremont, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Plumbing and Sewerage Contract for a project known as Commercial

Center, Camp Perry, Ohio, in accordance with the form of proposal dated April 15, 1936. Said contract calls for an expenditure of four thousand six hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$4,675.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted a certificate of the Controlling Board, showing that such board had released funds for this project in accordance with section 8 of House Bill No. 531 of the regular session of the 91st General Assembly.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, of Hartford, Conn., appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,  
*Attorney General.*

---

5475.

DISAPPROVAL—CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT TO ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION OF THE GUARANTEE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 6, 1936.

HON. GEORGE S. MYERS, *Secretary of State, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted to me for my approval the certificate of amendment to the articles of incorporation of The Guarantee Mutual Insurance Company. Said certificate does not show that thirty days' notice of the meeting at which such resolution was acted upon was given by a majority of the directors in a newspaper published and of general circulation in the county where the company's principal place of business is located as required by Section 9607-2a, General Code. Furthermore, the certificate shows that said resolution was passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of the members present at said meeting, whereas a three-fifths vote is required by said section.