1002 OPINIONS

charging such distribution against the distribution of such taxes for the second half of the year.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.

4531.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT FOR GENERAL WORK FOR PROJECT KNOWN AS T. B. COTTAGE SERVICE LINES, DAYTON STATE HOSPITAL, DAYTON, OHIO, \$62,918.00, UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY OF BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, SURETY—GREEN AND SAWYER COMPANY OF LIMA, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 9, 1935.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval, a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Department of Public Welfare, and the Green and Sawyer Company of Lima, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Contract for General Work for a project known as T. B. Cottage and Service Lines, Dayton State Hospital, Dayton, Ohio, in accordance with Item No. 1 of the form of proposal, dated July 17, 1935. Said contract calls for an expenditure of sixty-two thousand nine hundred eighteen dollars (\$62,918.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance, to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated, in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted a certificate of the Controlling Board, showing that said board has released funds for this project, in accordance with Section 1 of House Bill No. 69 of the Second Special Session of the 90th General Assembly.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company of Baltimore, Maryland, appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law, and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the Workmen's Compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day

noted my approval thereon, and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

> Respectfully, JOHN W. BRICKER, Attorney General.

4532.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$8,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 10, 1935.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

4533.

REAL ESTATE—BROKER'S LICENSE ISSUED BEFORE EFFEC-TIVE DATE OF AMENDED S. B. NO. 165 NOT REQUIRED TO BE REGISTERED IN CLERK OF COURTS' OFFICE.

SYLLABUS:

Real estate brokers' licenses issued for the year 1935, prior to the effective date of the recent amendment of Section 6373-40, General Code, as contained in Amended Senate Bill No. 165 of the 91st General Assembly, need not be registered in the office of the clerk of courts of the county in which persons so licensed reside.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 10, 1935.

State Board of Real Estate Examiners, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—Your letter of recent date is as follows:

"As you know, at the last session of the General Assembly, the State Real Estate License Law was amended in several respects.

Section 6373-40 was amended to read as follows:

* * * Every real estate broker licensed under this act, within ten days of the receipt of this license and those of his salesmen, shall have same registered in the office of the Clerk of Courts of the