

5686.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY,
OHIO, \$6,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 5, 1936.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

5687.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF DELHI TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT, HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO, \$2,500.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 5, 1936.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

5688.

APPROVAL—APPLICATIONS FOR ADJUSTMENTS OF DE-
LINQUENT CURRENT RENTALS ON TWO LEASES OF
M&E CANAL LANDS IN DEFIANCE, OHIO—BYRON G.
BEATTY, DEFIANCE, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 5, 1936.

HON. CARL G. WAHL, *Director, Department of Public Works, Columbus,
Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval two certain findings made by your predecessor in office upon applications filed by one Byron G. Beatty of Defiance, Ohio, for adjustments as to delinquent current rentals on two leases held by him of abandoned Miami and Erie Canal lands in the city of Defiance, Ohio, and said leases being designated on your records as M&E 278 and M&E 384, respectively.

The finding first above referred to is an adjustment of delinquent and current rentals under Lease M&E 278; and by this finding the Superintendent of Public Works has reduced the delinquent rentals on the lease from the sum of \$225.00 to \$150.00, and has reduced the current

rental on the lease for the period of time from May, 1935, to May 1, 1936, from the sum of \$90.00 to \$67.50.

By the other finding of the Superintendent of Public Works, he has refused to grant to the applicant any reduction as to either delinquent or current rentals. Although I am inclined to the view that the approval of the Governor and the Attorney General is not required to a finding of the Superintendent of Public Works refusing to make a reduction in rentals current or delinquent, the action of your predecessor on both of these applications is approved by me and I am accordingly evidencing such approval by my signature upon the resolutions attached to the findings above referred to, and to the copies of said resolutions, all of which, together with the findings and applications, are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

5689.

APPROVAL—PETITION CONTAINING PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND SUMMARY OF SAME.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 5, 1936.

CHARLES H. HUBBELL, ESQ., *10401 Almira Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination a written petition signed by one hundred qualified electors of this state containing a proposed constitutional amendment and a summary of the same under the provisions of Section 4785-175, General Code. It is proposed to amend the Constitution by amending Sections 1, 2, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of Article III; by adopting and adding to Article III four new sections, Sections 2a, 2b, 2c and 2d; by adopting and adding to Article X fourteen new sections, Sections 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18; by amending Section 4 of Article XV; by amending Section 2 of Article II; and by amending Sections 1 and 2 of Article XVII.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT.

III-1. The proposed amendment to the constitution names various executive department offices to be held by state officers; provides that state officers, with certain specified exceptions (see sections 2c and 2d), shall be elected by the electors of the state; and provides that elections for elective state officers shall be held at the time and places for voting for members of the general assembly.