

evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate copy thereof, both of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

343.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO,
\$4,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 29, 1937.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$4,000.00

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated June 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of August 3, 1933, being Opinion No. 1315.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

344.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF EUCLID VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 29, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Euclid Village School Dist., Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated February 1, 1925. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of October 17, 1929, being Opinion No. 1057.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

345.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA
COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 29, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,
\$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of July 26, 1933, being Opinion No. 1166.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.