



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2026-2190
Officer Involved Critical Incident - 2600 Navarre Ave.,
Oregon, OH 43616, Lucas County

Investigative Activity: Lab Results and Review
Involves: [REDACTED] (S), Christian Strassburg (S)
Activity Date: 07/26/2026
Activity Location: 750 N. College Dr., Bowling Green, OH 43402
Authoring Agent: SA Douglas A. Burke #162

Narrative:

On Friday, July 24, 2026, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Douglas Burke (SA Burke) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on June 10, 2026 for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 26-21848). The report originated from the Firearms section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Kevin Belcik. The items relevant to this report which had previously been submitted were as follows:

Item	Lab#	Matrix #	CSU#	Testing
Seven (7) 9mm Fired Cartridge Cases (1 EC1-1 EC7)	1	001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	Firearms
One (1) fired Bullet	3	015	15	Firearms
One (1) Glock Model 45, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED] weapon mounted light, one (1) magazine, sixteen (16) 9mm Luger Unfired	4	018	18	Firearms

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2026-2190

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 2600 Navarre Ave.,
Oregon, OH 43616, Lucas County

Cartridges				
Four (4) Fired Bullets (5 EB1 - 5EB3, and 5 EB5 and one (1) Fired Bullet with Four (4) fired bullet fragments and one (1) apparent lead fragment (5 EB4) from autopsy	5	026	N/A	Firearms

SA Burke reviewed the laboratory report and noted the following:

Lab #4, a Glock Model 45, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, Serial Number [REDACTED] with an attached weapon mounted light, fired by Mercy Health-St. Charles Police Department [REDACTED] during the OICI involving Christian Strassburg (Christian) had been deemed to be operable. Lab Item #1 1 EC1-1 EC7, the seven (7) 9mm Luger fired cartridge cases were source identified from Lab #4. Items #5 EB1-5 EB5, and 3, the six (6) 9mm Luger fired bullets collected at the scene of the OICI and autopsy of Christian were source identified.

Findings:

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 4 Glock Pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item 1 EC1 - 1 EC7	Source Identification
	Seven (7) 9mm Luger fired cartridge cases	
	Items 5 EB1 - 5 EB5, and 3	Source Identification
	Six (6) 9mm Luger fired bullets	

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2026-2190
Officer Involved Critical Incident - 2600 Navarre Ave.,
Oregon, OH 43616, Lucas County

Remarks

The various fragments and fired bullet from Item 5 EB4 were weighed together and treated as a single unit for examination / comparison purposes.

Six (6) of the sixteen (16) 9mm Luger unfired cartridges from Item 4 were used for test firing.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

References:

None

Attachments:

1. Firearm Results

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



ANDY WILSON

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report Firearms

To: Ohio Attorney General's Office BCI Laboratory Number: 26-21848
Doug Burke
30 E. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215 Analysis Date: June 17, 2026 Issue Date: July 21, 2026

Agency Case Number: 2026-2190
BCI Agent: Megan Roberts

Offense: Officer Involved Critical Incident
Subject(s): N/A
Victim(s): N/A

Submitted on 06/10/2026 by Doug Burke

1. One manila envelope containing cartridge casings
 - Seven (7) 9mm Luger fired cartridge cases (1 EC1 - 1 EC7)
3. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet
4. One cardboard box containing firearm; Serial #: [REDACTED]
 - One (1) Glock model 45, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED] with attached weapon mounted light, one (1) magazine, and sixteen (16) 9mm Luger unfired cartridges
5. One manila envelope containing bullet fragments from autopsy
 - Four (4) fired bullets (5 EB1 - 5 EB3, and 5 EB5) and one (1) fired bullet with four (4) fired bullet jacket fragments and one (1) apparent lead fragment (5 EB4)

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

☒ BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

☐ BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

☐ BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 4 Glock Pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item 1 EC1 - 1 EC7	Source Identification
	Seven (7) 9mm Luger fired cartridge cases	
	Items 5 EB1 - 5 EB5, and 3	Source Identification
	Six (6) 9mm Luger fired bullets	

Remarks


The various fragments and fired bullet from Item 5 EB4 were weighed together and treated as a single unit for examination / comparison purposes.

Six (6) of the sixteen (16) 9mm Luger unfired cartridges from Item 4 were used for test firing.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.



Kevin Belcik
Forensic Scientist
(419) 419-3577
kevin.belcik@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/QLNQ3SY>

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:

Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Kevin Belcik
Statement of Qualifications
Kevin.Belcik@OhioAGO.gov

Education

- Bachelors of Science in Applied Physics. May 2013. University of Toledo. Toledo, OH.

Professional Experience

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Laboratory. Forensic Scientist. September 2013 – Present.

Required Technical Training

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms Training. September 2013 - December 2014.
- ATF NIBIN Heritage Acquisition and Correlation. Atlanta, GA. February 2014.
- ATF Serial Number Restoration Course. Ammendale, MD. August 2014.
- ATF IBIS Triage and Data Acquisition. Bowling Green, OH. March 2023

Memberships

- Association of Firearm and Toolmark Examiners (AFTE). Provisional member since April 2018.
Regular member since December 2022.

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated 4/1/2024