

1314.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF  
\$335,000 FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 7, 1920.

1315.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF WILLIAMS COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT  
OF \$12,500 FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 7, 1920.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

RE—Bonds of Williams county, in the amount of \$12,500, for the improvement of the George F. Gentit road, No. 56, in Springfield township. One bond of \$1,500 and 11 bonds of \$1,000.

GENTLEMEN :—I have examined the transcript of the proceedings of the county commissioners relative to the above bond issue, and decline to approve the validity of said bonds for the following reasons:

(1) A copy of the petition for said road improvement is not attached to the transcript, and there is nothing in the transcript to show that said petition was signed by at least fifty-one per cent of the land or lot owners to be specially assessed for the cost of the improvement.

(2) The findings and determinations required by sections 6907 and 6911 G. C. are not made in the resolution of the commissioners of May 26 or in any other resolution as disclosed by the transcript, viz.:

a. "That the public convenience and welfare require that such improvement be made."

b. "Describing the route and termini of such road and the kind and extent of the improvement."

(3) The transcript fails to show that the engineer transmitted to the county commissioners a copy of the surveys, plans, profiles, cross-sections, estimates and specifications for such improvement and that thereupon the county commissioners caused notice to be published as required by section 6912 G. C.

(4) The transcript fails to show which of the methods provided in section 6919 for the payment of the cost and expense of the improvement was adopted by the county commissioners.

(5) The hearing on assessments was held March 22, 1920. The notice was published March 11 and March 19, 1920. Section 6922 G. C. requires this notice to be published "once a week for two consecutive weeks." I understand this language to mean two full weeks or fourteen days: See *Fenner vs. City of Cincinnati*, 8 O. N. P. 340, which was affirmed by the supreme court of Ohio in Case No. 7473, October 15, 1901, without reported opinion.