

you give consideration to the purpose for which the pertinent appropriation has been made and that you determine that the voucher is for the payment of a claim within such purpose. It would obviously be impossible for you to find that money in the treasury has been appropriated for the purpose of paying a given voucher without determining that such voucher is within the purpose of the appropriation.

It is accordingly my opinion that when a voucher is presented to you for payment out of a given appropriation, even though regular on its face, it is your duty to refuse to draw a warrant thereon unless you find that the purpose of the expenditure is included within the purpose of the appropriation from which such expenditure is to be made. It follows that in the absence of a specific appropriation for the purpose of paying for services rendered to the state or any of its departments or instrumentalities in the drafting of legislative bills, public moneys may not be expended for the purpose of compensating individuals for the rendition of such services, provision being made in and by Section 798-1, et seq., General Code, for a Legislative Reference Bureau for the rendition of such services.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

143.

APPROVAL— ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION OF WESTERN
RESERVE MUTUAL CASUALTY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 17, 1937.

HON. WILLIAM J. KENNEDY, *Secretary of State, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: I have examined the articles of incorporation of The Western Reserve Mutual Casualty Company which you have submitted to me for my approval.

Finding the same not to be inconsistent with the Constitution or laws of the United States or of the State of Ohio, I have endorsed my approval and return the same herewith.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.