1418.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO, \$11,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 1, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Montgomery County, Ohio, \$11,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of Belmont water supply bonds in the aggregate amount of \$320,000, dated April 1, 1924, bearing interest at the rate of 5½% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said county.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney-General.

1419.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF LAKEWOOD, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$51,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 2, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Lakewood, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$51,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of water front improvement bonds in the aggregate amount of \$75,000, dated October 1, 1928, bearing interest at the rate of 4¾% per annum.

2364 OPINIONS

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of whihe these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney-General.

1420.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYA-HOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$55,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 2, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$55,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1937. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your commission under date of September 13, 1937, being Opinion No. 1143.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

Herbert S. Duffy,

Attorney-General.