

2744.

APPROVAL—BONDS, ELMWOOD PLACE VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO, \$6,000.00, DATED APRIL 1, 1938.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1938

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Elmwood Place Village School District,
Hamilton County, Ohio, \$6,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of deficiency bonds dated April 1, 1938, bearing interest at the rate of 3% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2745.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 1931.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1938.

Public Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this

issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of April 13, 1934, being Opinion No. 2512.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

2746.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$9,000.00, DATED MARCH 1, 1921.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1938

Public Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$9,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1921. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of September 26, 1935, being Opinion No. 4716.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.