

ouster may be restored to his employment or position and the emoluments thereof in an action by way of mandamus. To this effect see:

State ex rel. Moyer vs. Baldwin, 77 O. S. 532; *City of Cleveland vs. Luitner*, 92 O. S. 493; *Hornberger vs. State ex rel. Fischer*, 95 O. S. 148; *State ex rel. Brittain vs. Board of Agriculture*, supra; *Curtis vs. State ex rel. Morgan*, 108 O. S. 292; *City of Toledo vs. Osborn*, 23 O. App. 62.

Specifically answering the question that you present it is my opinion that the employe in question, having been reinstated by the Civil Service Commission, is entitled to his salary that accrued during the period of his removal, that is, from the date of his dismissal to the date of reinstatement by the Civil Service Commission. In other words, it is my opinion that an officer, employe or subordinate in the classified service of the state, who is removed from his position by his appointing authority for cause or causes enumerated in Section 486-17a, General Code, and who, as therein provided, appeals to the Civil Service Commission, which, upon hearing, disaffirms the judgment of the appointing authority and reinstates such officer, employe or subordinate to the position from which he was removed, is entitled to the payment of such salary that accrued during the period such officer, employe or subordinate was so removed from his position.

Respectfully,
EDWARD C. TURNER,
Attorney General.

1789.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF THE VILLAGE OF GENEVA-ON-THE-LAKE,
ASHTABULA COUNTY—\$4,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 1, 1928.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1790.

APPROVAL, 14 GAME REFUGE LEASES.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 1, 1928.

Department of Agriculture, Division of Fish and Game, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—I have your letter of recent date in which you enclose the following Game Refuge Leases, in duplicate, for my approval: