

1996

OPINIONS

2479.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF MARION COUNTY, OHIO—\$15,182.71.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 24, 1928.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2480.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF HENRY COUNTY, OHIO—\$83,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 24, 1928.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2481.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE OF OHIO AND THE WAYNE ELECTRIC COMPANY, WOOSTER, OHIO, FOR THE ELECTRICAL WORK FOR POULTRY STORAGE AND SERVICE BUILDING, OHIO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION, WOOSTER, OHIO, AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$630.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE AETNA CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 24, 1928.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Board of Control of the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, Wooster, Ohio, and the Wayne Electric Co., of Wooster, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Electrical contract for Poultry Storage and Service Building, Brooder House and Laying House at Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, Wooster, Ohio, and calls for an expenditure of six hundred and thirty (\$630.00) dollars.

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also furnished evidence to the effect that the consent and approval of the Controlling Board to the expenditure has been

obtained as required by Section 12 of House Bill No. 502 of the 87th General Assembly. In addition you have submitted a contract bond, upon which the Aetna Casualty & Surety Company appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
EDWARD C. TURNER,
Attorney General.

2482.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND R. BURTON CHILD, FINDLAY, OHIO, FOR CONSTRUCTION OF POULTRY STORAGE AND SERVICE BUILDING, AT OHIO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION, WOOSTER, OHIO, AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$18,966.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 24, 1928.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for The Board of Control the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, Wooster, Ohio, and C. Ellis Bundy, of Findlay, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of General Contract (exclusive of Plumbing, Heating and Electrical Contracts), for the Poultry Storage and Service Building, Brooder House and Laying House, at Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, Wooster, Ohio, and calls for an expenditure of eighteen thousand nine hundred sixty-six and no/100ths (\$18,966.00) dollars.

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also furnished evidence to the effect that the consent and approval of the Controlling Board to the expenditure has been obtained as required by Section 12 of House Bill No. 502 of the 87th General Assembly. In addition you have submitted a contract bond, upon which the Hartford Accident and Indemnity Co. appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the