

After examination, it is my opinion that said contract is in proper legal form and I have accordingly endorsed my approval thereon and return the same herewith.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1363.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY,
OHIO, \$13,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 26, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio,
\$13,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated June 1, 1932. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of September 6, 1932, being Opinion No. 4593.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1364.

APPROVAL — BONDS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO,
\$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 26, 1937.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated October 1, 1937. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of October 25, 1937, being Opinion No. 1360.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1365.

APPROVAL.—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA
COUNTY, OHIO, \$9,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 26, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$9,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1921. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of September 26, 1935, being Opinion No. 4716.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.