ney general for their approval, advising them as to the amount of rental to be collected upon each of such leases, and when so approved, a duplicate copy thereof shall be filed with the treasurer of state and rental bills for the ensuing year rendered accordingly."

Obviously, the question as to whether the reduced rental fixed by the Superintendent of Public Works on an application therefor under the act above referred to, shall be continued for a subsequent year, depends largely upon considerations which actuated the Superintendent of Public Works in fixing a reduced rental under the lease in the first instance. other words, the Superintendent of Public Works acts with the same responsibility in continuing a reduced rental under a lease for a subsequent year as that under which he acted in granting the reduction in the first instance. In this connection, I assume that you as Superintendent of Public Works have made an investigation of the facts relating to the question whether the reductions in rental heretofore granted with respect to these several leases, justify your findings continuing these reduced rentals for another year. In this view, I see no reason why the findings made by you with respect to the annual rentals to be paid under these several leases for the following year should not be approved. I am accordingly approving these findings as is evidenced by my approval endorsed thereon and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith enclosed.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.

6198.

APPROVAL—FINDINGS FOR REDUCED ANNUAL RENTALS
ON SIX MIAMI AND ERIE CANAL LAND LEASES.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 16, 1936.

Hon. Carl G. Wahl, Director, Department of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval certain findings made by you as Superintendent of Public Works continuing for the year from and after November 1, 1936, the reduced annual rentals which upon applications therefor under House Bill No. 467, 115 O. L., 512, were originally fixed by your predecessor as to certain leases of Miami and Erie Canal lands and which as to some of these leases have been in effect for the year from November 1, 1935, to November 1,

1534 OPINIONS

1936, and as to other leases have been in effect for the year from November 1, 1934, to November 1, 1935, as well as the year from November 1, 1936.

As above noted, the findings now made by you continue the reduced annual rentals on these leases for the year from November 1, 1936, to November 1, 1937. The leases here in question designated with respect to their several seriel numbers, the names of the lessees and the respective amounts of the reduced annual rentals payable on these several leases for the following year are:

	Redu	ced Annual
Number	Lessee	Rental
M&E 11	Pennsylvania R. R. Co	\$10,000.00
M&E 58	Mrs. Annie Armstrong	. 54.00
M&E 196	The City of Piqua	. 1,608.53
M&E 240	Pennsylvania R. R. Co	712.20
M&E 257	Cincinnati & Lake Erie R. R. Co	. 674.36
M&E 402	Louise Ernst	. 90.00

The several findings made by you continuing during the year from November 1, 1936, to November 1, 1937, the reduced annual rentals heretofore fixed by the Superintendent of Public Works as to the canal land leases hereinabove designated, were made by you under the authority of Section 2 of the act above referred to which provides that "on or before the first day of November of each year, said superintendent shall notify such lessee of the state who obtained an adjustment of rentals within the preceding year, of his intention, either to continue, for another year, such reduced rental, as had been determined upon by reason of his prior application filed with said superintendent in the preceding year, or of his intention to restore all or a part of such reduction of rental, and a statement of such finding shall be presented to the governor and attorney general for their approval, advising them as to the amount of rental to be collected upon each of such leases, and when so approved, a duplicate copy thereof shall be filed with the treasurer of state and rental bills for the ensuing year rendered accordingly."

Obviously, the question as to whether the reduced rental fixed by the Superintendent of Public Works on an application therefor under the act above referred to, shall be continued for a subsequent year, depends largely upon considerations which actuated the Superintendent of Public Works in fixing a reduced rental under the lease in the first instance. In other words, the Superintendent of Public Works acts with the same responsibility in continuing a reduced rental under a lease for a subsequent year as that under which he acted in granting the reduction in the first instance. In this connection, I assume that you as Superintendent of Public Works

have made an investigation of the facts relating to the question whether the reductions in rental heretofore granted with respect to these several leases, justify your findings continuing these reduced rentals for another year. In this view, I see no reason why the findings made by you with respect to the annual rentals to be paid under these several leases for the following year should not be approved. I am accordingly approving these findings as is evidenced by my approval endorsed thereon and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith enclosed. Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

6199.

APPROVAL — BONDS OF ADAMS TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, CLINTON COUNTY, OHIO, \$630.00.

Columbus, Ohio, October 16, 1936.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

6200.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF SALINEVILLE VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, COLUMBIANA COUNTY, OHIO, \$68,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 16, 1936.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

6201.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF MT. PLEASANT RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, CLINTON COUNTY, OHIO, \$456.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 16, 1936.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.