

85.

APPROVAL, RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS FOR SALE OF ABANDONED
CANAL LANDS OF RACCOON FEEDER, NEWARK, LICKING COUNTY,
OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 11, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—This is to acknowledge receipt of duplicate copies of the record of proceedings of your department together with communication addressed to the Governor and to the Attorney General relating to the proposed sale of abandoned lands of the Raccoon Feeder of the Ohio Canal in the city of Newark, Licking County, Ohio, which lands are more particularly described in said communication. Treating the finding made by you in said communication to the Governor and to the Attorney General, that the lands in question can not be leased so as to bring six percent annual rental on the appraisalment, as a part of the whole record of proceedings relating to the sale of said lands I am of the opinion that all jurisdictional facts required by Section 13971, General Code, as a condition of the right to sell said lands have been found and determined. I am inclined to the view, however, that in the interest of regularity in the sales of canal lands under said section of the General Code, the particular finding above referred to should be made as a part of the general finding made by your department as well as in the communication directed by you to the Governor and to the Attorney General, and I take the liberty of suggesting that the record of your proceedings relating to the sale of the lands here in question be corrected in the manner above pointed out.

Inasmuch as under the provisions of Section 13971, General Code, sales of canal lands at private sale are required to have the approval of the Governor and of the Attorney General, I beg to advise that the sale of this property has my approval as evidenced by my endorsement on the duplicate copies of the record of proceedings transmitted, which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

86.

HOUSE BILL NO. 19—COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' EXPENSES—SUG-
GESTED AMENDMENT UNCONSTITUTIONAL.

SYLLABUS:

The provision in House Bill 19, relating to the payment of county commissioners' expenses, will be rendered unconstitutional and void if it is amended so as to apply only to county commissioners receiving less than a specified amount, and is thus enacted.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 12, 1929.

HON. HENRY F. AULT, *Chairman, Committee on Fees and Salaries, House of Representatives, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—This is to acknowledge receipt of your recent communication, which reads as follows: