

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

2296.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$50,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 1931.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 13, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland,  
Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$50,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of April 13, 1934, being Opinion No. 2513.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

2297.

APPROVAL — BONDS, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED NOVEMBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 13, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Summit County,  
Ohio, \$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated November 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of March 5, 1936, being Opinion No. 5214.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

2298.

APPROVAL—BONDS, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00,  
PART OF ISSUE DATED NOVEMBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 13, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*  
GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Summit County,  
Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated November 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of March 5, 1936, being Opinion No. 5214.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*