

1767.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT WITH THE WILLIAM H. CONKLIN COMPANY  
FOR INSTALLATION OF RADIATORS IN STATE TREASURY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 31, 1920.

HON. R. W. ARCHER, *Treasurer of State, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Acknowledgment is made of your letter dated January 6, 1921, transmitting the contract of The William H. Conklin Co., for the installation of radiators in the state treasury department for my approval.

Authority for the installation of new steel vaults in the state treasury is found in House Bill 610, 108 O. L., Part II, p. 1110. The purpose for which this appropriation is made is in part stated in that act as follows:

“For new steel vaults and necessary improvements incident thereto.”

My information is that the radiators referred to in the contract are necessary improvements incident to the installation of the new steel vaults and they are therefore within the purpose for which the appropriation was made.

It is noted also that the act provides that the purchase and installation of such vaults and improvements are exempted from the provisions of Chapter I, Title 9, first part, of the General Code of Ohio (Building Regulations, etc.), and that the contract price is within the appropriation and that the amount named, to-wit, \$420.00, is available as evidenced by the auditor's certificate hereto attached.

Further consideration of the form of the contract convinces me that it is in conformity to law and the same is therefore approved.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,  
*Attorney-General.*

1768.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF PUTNAM COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF  
\$83,600 FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS THROUGH VILLAGE OF  
OTTOVILLE.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 31, 1920.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

Re: Bonds of Putnam county in the amount of \$83,600, for the improvement of part of I. C. H. No. 435 through Ottoville corporation, 20 bonds of \$4,180.00.

GENTLEMEN:—I have examined the transcript of the proceedings of the county commissioners and other officers of Putnam county relative to the above bond issue and decline to approve the validity of said bonds for the following reasons:

(1) The road to be improved by the funds received from the bonds under consideration passes through the village of Ottoville and the portion of the road lying within the corporate limits of the village is to be improved to a greater width than that without the village. The transcript fails to show that proper proceed-