"any one person" as used in section 710-122, General Code, would include the superintendent of banks, although acting in two distinct capacities, that construction should not be adopted, since it would lead to an absurd and unreasonable result. See State ex rel vs. Edmonston, 89 O. S., 93; In re Murray, 20 N. P. (n. s.) 305; In re Hesse, 93 O. S., 230. It should be remembered that the entire object of statutory construction is to ascertain the intention of the legislature. Barth vs. State ex rel., 107 O. S., 154; Ohio Savings & Trust Co. vs. Schneider, 25 O. A., 259.

Specifically answering your question, it is my opinion that section 710-122, General Code, does not prohibit a bank organized and operating under the laws of this state from making loans to the superintendent of banks in possession of the business and property of a bank for liquidation, which borrowings are made by the superintendent under section 710-95a, General Code, providing such lending bank does not loan to the superintendent, as statutory receiver of any particular bank, a sum in excess of 20 per cent of its paid-in capital stock and surplus.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.

2967.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE NEW YORK CENTRAL RAIL-ROAD COMPANY AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WELFARE AND JOSEPH NEYER, JR., OF CINCINNATI, OHIO, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF SIDE TRACK AT LONGVIEW STATE HOSPITAL, AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$1950.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 28, 1934.

HON. JOHN McSweeney, Director of Public Welfare, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the New York Central Railroad Company and The Department of Public Welfare and Joseph Neyer, Jr., of Cincinnati, Ohio, covering the construction by said railroad company of a side track 258 feet in length to the Power House, Longview State Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio. Such contract calls for an estimated expenditure of nineteen hundred and fifty dollars (\$1950.00) on the part of the State.

I have carefully examined the provisions of said contract, and find the same correct in form and legal and am approving the same.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.