

ing a manufacturer's or dealer's license except when the car is being used for sale, lease or other like disposition.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.

2291.

SCHOOLS—WHERE ELECTORS VOTE TO ISSUE BONDS AS PROVIDED BY SECTION 7625 G. C.—NOT NECESSARY TO SUBMIT QUESTION TO VOTERS AGAIN TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF NEW LEVY PROVIDED FOR IN SENATE BILL NO. 160.

Where the electors of a school district have voted to issue bonds as provided by section 7625 et seq. of the General Code, in order to make the levy to pay for such bonds, as authorized in Senate Bill No. 160 (section 5649-3a), it is not necessary to submit the question to the voters again in order to take advantage of the new levy provided for in senate bill No. 160.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 4, 1921.

HON. EARL C. KRUEGER, *Prosecuting Attorney, Sandusky, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Acknowledgment is made of the receipt of your recent request for an opinion of this department upon the following statement of fact:

“I have been requested by several of the local boards of education here as well as from some of the attorneys representing them to inquire from you whether or not it is your opinion that it is necessary to again submit to the voters of their respective districts the question of issuing bonds as provided by section 7625 of the General Code et seq. in order to make the levy to pay for such bonds as authorized by Senate Bill No. 160 which amends section 5649-3a in respect to taking such levy out of the three mill limitation. We have three school districts in this county wherein a vote was had to issue bonds for school purposes under section 7625 and in which case the three mill tax levy limitation as provided in section 5649-3a made it impossible to issue all the bonds voted for and apparently the question now is whether it will be necessary to re-submit the question to the voters in order to take advantage of the new levy provided in Senate Bill No. 160 as above referred to.”

In reply to your question you are advised that no re-submission of the question to issue bonds for school purposes under section 7625 in the three school districts in question is necessary in order to take advantage of the new levy provided in Senate Bill 160. The authorization secured by the boards of education by vote of the people would be valid in a case such as you describe regardless of the time at which such vote was had, and the boards of education in the school districts in question are authorized to proceed to issue all the bonds voted for without resubmitting the question again to the electors.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.