1416 OPINIONS

dent that the Conservation Commissioner, being lawfully in possession of the automobile in question, may order the same disposed of in the manner in which he may direct.

It is, therefore, my opinion in specific answer to your question that: (1) The words "or other device," as the same appear in Section 1450, General Code, being words of general import, must be construed as to include within their meaning, only property of a type similar to that particularly described in this section. (2) An automobile used in the unlawful killing of ring-neck pheasants out of season, can not be considered as property included within the meaning of the words "or other device," as used in Section 1450 of the General Code, so as to subject such property to forfeiture upon a person's plea of guilty to the use thereof in the unlawful killing of game out of season. (3) Where a person fails, within the time prescribed by statute, to avail himself of the remedy provided by law for a review of an erroneous judgment rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction, such judgment has all the force and effect of a legal judgment and is binding upon all the parties affected (4) The Conservation Commissioner may, under the provisions of Section 1450 of the General Code, dispose of an automobile which has, by the terms of a judgment rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction, been declared forfeited to the State.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2742.

APPROVAL—NOTES OF VILLAGE OF FAIRPORT, LAKE COUNTY, OHIO, \$6,000.00, DATED MAY 1, 1938.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1938

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Notes of Village of Fairport, Lake County, Ohio, \$6,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above notes purchased by you. These notes comprise all of an issue of street improvement notes dated May 1, 1938, bearing interest at the rate of  $4\frac{1}{2}\%$  per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these notes have been authorized, I am of the opinion that notes issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said village.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

2743.

APPROVAL—BONDS, OLIVE-ORANGE RURAL SCHOOL DIST-RICT, MEIGS COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,200.00, DATED MARCH 1, 1938.

Columbus, Ohio, July 21, 1938

Public Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Olive-Orange Rural School District, Meigs County, Ohio, \$5,200.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of deficiency bonds dated March 1, 1938, bearing interest at the rate of  $4\frac{1}{4}\%$  per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.