

257.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA
COUNTY, OHIO, \$4,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 15, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,
\$4,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated February 1, 1929. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of October 4, 1934, being Opinion No. 3280.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

258.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY,
OHIO, \$69,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 15, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio,
\$69,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1917. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the

Industrial Commission under date of February 25, 1935, being Opinion No. 3972.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

259.

HOUSE BILL No. 16—IF EFFECTIVE—NOT VIOLATIVE OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF OHIO.

SYLLABUS:

In the event of the enactment of House Bill No. 16, the provisions contained in Section 7, paragraph (c) thereof, relating to the right of trial by jury in certain criminal contempt proceedings, would not be violative of the Constitution.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 16, 1937.

HON. KENNETH M. PETRI, *Acting Chairman, Committee on Judiciary, House of Representatives, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the eleventh, in which you advise that your committee is desirous of securing the opinion of this office as to the constitutionality of a certain provision of House Bill No. 16.

House Bill No. 16 is a bill entitled "A Bill To Define and limit the jurisdiction of courts sitting in equity, and for other purposes." The bill relates primarily to controversies arising from labor union disputes. The particular provision of the bill upon which you desire an expression of this office as to constitutionality is contained in paragraph (c) of Section 7 of the bill, providing the right of a trial by jury in cases of certain "indirect criminal contempt proceedings." This provision reads as follows:

"Section 7. In all cases where a person shall be charged with indirect criminal intent, the accused shall enjoy

* * * * *

(c) Upon demand, the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury of the judicial district wherein the con-