1454 OPINIONS

The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of November 20, 1935, being Opinion No. 4910.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1023.

BONDS—CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, \$1,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 11, 1939.

Retirement Board, School Employes Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of the City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio, \$1,000. (Limited)

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$1,500,000 issue of sewage disposal bonds of the above city dated May 1, 1929. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement Board under date of June 18, 1935, being Opinion No. 4343.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.

1024.

BONDS—CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, \$1,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 11, 1939.

Retirement Board, School Employes Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.
GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of the City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio, \$1,000. (Unlimited)

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$500,000 issue of Maumee River Bridge Bonds of the above city dated March 15, 1929.

The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission of Ohio under date of December 29, 1934, being Opinion No. 3705.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

Thomas J. Herbert,

Attorney General.

1025.

BONDS—DAYTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, \$25,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 11, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Dayton City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, \$25,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$1,500,000 issue of school bonds of the above city school district dated December 3, 1925. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission of Ohio under date of February 27, 1937, being Opinion No. 184.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city school district.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.