

No. 140, which bonds are in excess of the debt limitations that may be incurred.

2. Machinery to operate a gravel bank or pit, which gravel bank has been donated to the township for road purposes, may be purchased only pursuant to authority of the electors, notwithstanding the fact that sufficient available funds may be on hand for such purpose.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.

4640.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL WORK FOR PROJECT KNOWN AS EXTENSION TO MAIN BUILDING AND SERVICE LINES, COLUMBUS STATE HOSPITAL, COLUMBUS, OHIO, \$5,191.00, UNITED STATES GUARANTEE COMPANY, SURETY—PAUL L. GILMORE COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 7, 1935.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works for the Department of Public Welfare, and the Paul L. Gilmore Company of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Contract for Electrical Work for a project known as Extension to Main Building and Service Lines, Columbus State Hospital, Columbus, Ohio, in accordance with Item No. 4 of the form of proposal dated July 31, 1935. Said contract calls for an expenditure of five thousand one hundred and ninety-one dollars (\$5,191.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted a certificate of the Controlling Board showing that such board has released funds for this project in accordance with section 1 of House Bill No. 69 of the second special session of the 90th General Assembly.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the United States Guarantee Company of New York, New York, appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated

as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

4641.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT FOR HEATING FOR PROJECT KNOWN AS EXTENSION TO MAIN BUILDING AND SERVICE LINES, COLUMBUS STATE HOSPITAL, COLUMBUS, OHIO, \$7,190.00, AETNA CASUALTY COMPANY, SURETY—HUFFMAN-WOLFE COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 7, 1935.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works for the Department of Public Welfare, and the Huffman-Wolfe Company of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Contract for Heating for a project known as Extension to Main Building and Service Lines, Columbus State Hospital, Columbus, Ohio, in accordance with Item No. 3 of the form of proposal dated July 31, 1935. Said contract calls for an expenditure of seven thousand one hundred and ninety dollars (\$7,190.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted a certificate of the Controlling Board showing that such board has released funds for this project in accordance with section 1 of House Bill No. 69 of the second special session of the 90th General Assembly.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford, Connecticut, appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws