

2256.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY,
OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MAY 1, 1929.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 8, 1938.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo,
Lucas County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated May 1, 1929. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of June 18, 1935, being Opinion No. 4343.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2257.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00,
PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1936.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 8, 1938.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated October 1, 1936. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of October 2, 1936, being Opinion No. 6141.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2258.

APPROVAL.—BONDS, CITY OF CINCINNATI, HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO, \$58,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED APRIL 1, 1931.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 8, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cincinnati,
Hamilton County, Ohio, \$58,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of university building bonds in the aggregate amount of \$660,000 of a \$1,700,000 authorization, dated April 1, 1931, bearing interest at the rate of 4% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.