

Commission under date of September 17, 1934, being Opinion No. 1172.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2095.

APPROVAL—BONDS TOLEDO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT,
LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED
APRIL 1, 1930.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 14, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Toledo City School Dist., Lucas County,
Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated April 1, 1930. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of May 22, 1934, being Opinion No. 2710.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2096.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY,
OHIO, \$6,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED JUNE 15, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 14, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,
\$6,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of

bonds of the above city dated June 15, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Employes Retirement Board under date of January 27, 1936, being Opinion No. 5118.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2097.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF DAYTON, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED NOVEMBER 1, 1930.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 14, 1938.

State Sinking Fund Commission, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Dayton, Montgomery County,
Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated November 1, 1930. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in two opinions rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of April 26, 1934, being Opinions Nos. 2576 and 2577.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.