

permit fees are provided for in the same parts of Section 6064-15, General Code, that provide for the initial fees and therefore it seems that the legislature considered them alike in kind. There is no authority for contending that because they became payable at a later date they are different in quality.

In my opinion the rights and duties prevailing under the unexpired permits are concurrent. As long as rights are recognized under an unexpired permit the permit holder is bound by the fees imposed on said permit. The payment of permit fees being a burden attached to the privilege of holding a permit said permit is inseparable from the benefits. Certainly if the unexpired permits remain in force until their expiration dates said permits are governed as to rights and duties by the law under authority of which they were issued.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

716.

APPROVAL — BONDS OF CITY OF ALLIANCE, STARK
COUNTY, OHIO, \$54,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 11, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Alliance, Stark County, Ohio.
\$54,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of refunding bonds in the aggregate amount of \$132,400, dated October 15, 1934, bearing interest at the rate of 5% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.