

to the price regularly charged for lots therein a sum for said purpose or may receive gifts for said purpose or may enter into separate agreements with the purchasers of such lots whereby an agreed part of the purchase price of said lot or lots shall be and constitute a permanent fund, or may receive individual gifts for said fund, the income thereof to be used for the upkeep and care of such lot or lots and when any such fund or funds shall have been received or created the same shall be a permanent fund for such use and the income therefrom shall be used for the said purpose and for no other purpose and the principal sum shall be kept and invested under the same terms and conditions fixed by law for the investment of the funds of a minor by his guardian."

When this board is elected they themselves are responsible for all funds. They have full control and assume full responsibility and would be the proper custodian of all securities. Should said board have a clerk, he would be the proper custodian of securities.

It is to be understood that the law is not definite as to who the proper officer to hold securities is and that the above opinion is meant only to suggest what is believed to be the better practice.

Respectfully,  
JOHN G. PRICE,  
*Attorney-General.*

2162.

---

APPROVAL, BONDS OF STARK COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$31,500, ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 10, 1921.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

2163.

---

APPROVAL, BONDS OF STARK COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$48,000, ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 10, 1921.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

2164.

---

APPROVAL, LEASE OF LAND AT BUCKEYE LAKE, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 11, 1921.

HON. JOHN I. MILLER, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—With your letter of June 9, 1921, you submitted to me for examination and approval a proposed lease to John E. Ohlen of land at

Buckeye Lake for cottage site and landing purposes. I note from your letter that the valuation is \$200.

I have carefully examined said lease, find it correct in form and legal, and am therefore returning the same with my approval endorsed thereon.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,

*Attorney-General.*

2165.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF HANCOCK COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$83,000 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 11, 1921.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

2166.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—APPROVAL, SALE TO CITY OF TOLEDO OF CERTAIN LANDS, PORTION OF OLD CHANNEL AND EMBANKMENTS OF SWAN CREEK.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 14, 1921.

HON. JOHN I. MILLER, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—The receipt is acknowledged of your letter of June 11, 1921, transmitting in duplicate for my examination a transcript of proceedings relative to sale to the city of Toledo of certain lands composing a portion of the old channel and embankments of Swan Creek.

I note that the proposed sale is for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of an act of the general assembly of Ohio passed March 21, 1917, and found in 107 O. L. at page 572. I further find that the description as set out in the transcript submitted by you is identical with that set out in the act of the general assembly above indicated.

An examination of the transcript shows that your proceedings have been in accordance with the special act above mentioned; and I therefore give my approval to the proceedings.

I note your request that I join with you in the sale of the lands in question, and I have complied with your request by signing the resolutions for the sale.

I return the duplicate transcript herewith.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,

*Attorney-General.*