

2670.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF BEDFORD VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT,
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$36,100.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1925.

Re: Bonds of Bedford Village School District, Cuyahoga County, \$36,100.00.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—I have examined the transcript for the foregoing issue of bonds and find that the affidavits of the publisher giving notice of the sale of the bonds are to the effect that the publications have been had in each instance on June 4th, 11th and 18th, 1925, and giving notice of the sale of the bonds on June 19th, 1925.

Section 2294, General Code, provides that such bonds shall be sold to the highest bidder, after being advertised once a week for three consecutive weeks and on the same day of the week.

In the case of State of Ohio vs. Kuhner and King, 107 O. S., page 406, the court held as follows:

“The requirement of section 1206, General Code, that ‘the state highway commissioner shall advertise for bids for two consecutive weeks’ is mandatory, and the contract entered on June 14 for advertisement in two weekly newspapers of the county on June 6th and June 13th is invalid.”

Applying the same rule to this issue, it must necessarily be held that these bonds have not had the advertisement for the required length of time, and for that reason the same are hereby disapproved, and you are advised not to accept said bonds.

Respectfully,

C. C. CRABBE,

Attorney General.

2671.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, LOT NO. 14, WOODRUFF'S SUBDIVISION,
FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 22, 1925.

HON. CARL E. STEEB, *Secretary, Board of Trustees, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Examination of an abstract of title submitted by your office for examination discloses the following:

The abstract as submitted was originally prepared by Eugene Lane, abstractor, March 19, 1914, with a continuation thereto by Alfred Neydon & Company, abstracters, August 12, 1919, a further continuation by Wendell H. Lilly, abstractor, October 16, 1922, a further continuation by Graves and Westervelt, abstracters, September 17, 1924, and a final continuation by Robert E. Pfeiffer, attorney-at-law, July 13, 1925, and pertains to the following described premises:

Situate in the county of Franklin, state of Ohio, and in the city of Co-