

3038.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$32,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 1938.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 29, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$32,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of two issues of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1938. The transcripts relative to these issues were approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of September 17, 1938, being Opinion No. 2986.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

3039.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, \$50,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1923.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 29, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio,
\$50,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated February 1, 1923. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered

to your board under date of September 12, 1938, being Opinion No. 2950.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

3040.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYA-
HOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$50,000.00, PART OF ISSUE
DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1914.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 29, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$50,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated February 1, 1914. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of November 16, 1936, being Opinion No. 6364.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.