

1845.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF RICHWOOD, UNION COUNTY,
\$8,500.00, TO REFUND CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 15, 1924.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1846.

APPROVAL, FINAL RESOLUTIONS, ROAD IMPROVEMENTS IN THE
FOLLOWING COUNTIES: HENRY, 2 IN ASHTABULA, 5 IN BUTLER
AND 1 IN HOLMES.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 16, 1924.

Department of Highways and Public Works, Division of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.

1847.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF MAUMEE, LUCAS COUNTY,
\$31,500.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 17, 1924.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Re: Bonds of Village of Maumee, Lucas County, \$31,500.00.

Gentlemen:—

I have examined the transcript of the foregoing issue of bonds, and find that I cannot approve the same for the reason that the affidavits of the publishers of newspapers in which the sale of these bonds were advertised state that they were advertised for four consecutive weeks, beginning on June 15, 1924, and the notice given by this advertisement is to the effect that the bonds were to be sold on July 8, 1924.

Section 3924 G. C. provides that notice of bond sale shall be by publication for four consecutive weeks in two newspapers, and in the case of State of Ohio vs. Kuhner and King, 107 O. S., 406, the court held that the provision for advertisement for two consecutive weeks is mandatory and that two publications for the letting of contracts prior to the expiration of two full weeks is invalid.

Applying the same rule to the provisions of Section 3924 G. C. we must conclude that four consecutive weeks named therein would require four full weeks, or twenty-eight days from the date of the first publication, and the sale of bonds on July 8th following the first publication on June 13th would not be in compliance with this decision of the Supreme Court.

For this reason I am compelled to disapprove this issue of bonds, and advise you not to purchase the same.

Respectfully,

C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney-General.

1848.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE OF OHIO AND THE ARCHIBALE ELECTRIC COMPANY, OF CINCINNATI, OHIO, FOR CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF CHANGES AND ADDITIONS TO SWITCHBOARD AND WIRING FOR POWER PLANT, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OHIO, AT COST OF \$4,335.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE NATIONAL SURETY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 20, 1924.

HON. L. A. BOULAY, *Director, Department of Highways and Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

Dear Sir:—

You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Highways and Public Works, and the Archibale Electric Company, of Cincinnati, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of changes and additions to switchboard and wiring for Power Plant, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, and calls for an expenditure of \$4,335.00.

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. There has further been submitted a contract bond upon which the National Surety Company appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney-General.