

3883.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF MAPLE HEIGHTS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$61,700.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 10, 1926.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

3884.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE TO I. B. AND ELLA DERR 5 ACRE TRACT AND MARY DERR AND IRA B. DERR, 47.39 ACRE TRACT OF LAND IN EAST UNION TOWNSHIP, WAYNE COUNTY, OHIO, TO BE USED FOR SITE FOR ADDITIONAL INSTITUTION FOR FEEBLE MINDED IN NORTHERN OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 11, 1926.

Abstract of Title of I. B. and Ella Derr 5 acre Tract, and Mary Derr and Ira B. Derr, 47.39 acre Tract.

HON. JOHN E. HARPER, *Director, Department of Public Welfare, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Examination of an abstract of title of I. B. Derr and Ella Derr to 5 acres in the northeast corner of the northeast quarter of section 20, township 16, range 12, and also the abstract of title of Mary Derr and Ira B. Derr to 47.39 acres in the southeast corner of the southeast quarter of section 17, township 16, range 12, all in East Union township, Wayne county, Ohio, all of which premises are more particularly described by metes and bounds in the captions to the abstracts therefor submitted herewith, and which lands are to be used as a part of the site for an additional institution for the feeble minded in Northern Ohio.

The will of James Finley shown on page 6 of the abstract No. 1242 gives Esther Finley a life estate in the above described 5 acre tract. However, the affidavit of J. W. Ramsey shown on page 17 of said abstract shows that said Esther Finley has been dead for many years so that her life estate has been extinguished.

The deed shown on page 31 of abstract No. 1242 contains a recital with respect to driveway privileges. An actual examination of the premises, however, shows that this driveway does not cross captioned premises but crosses parcel No. 1 in said deed described, which are the premises contracted for by the state from Daniel W. McClelland, et al.

There is nothing to show who the heirs of Jacob Franks named on page 5 of the abstract No. 1244 were. However, I think the note of the abstracter shown on page 6 is correct as the subsequent items would seem to indicate that the persons named in said note were all and the only heirs of said Jacob Franks, except that his widow's name was perhaps Margaret instead of Martha. However, she is no doubt dead by this time as the deed to Jacob Franks is dated December 20, 1816.