

1943.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY,  
OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MAY 1, 1929.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 17, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*  
GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,  
\$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated May 1, 1929. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of May 18, 1935, being Opinion No. 4343.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

1944.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA  
COUNTY, OHIO, \$1,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEP-  
TEMBER 1, 1931.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 17, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes Retirement System,  
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$1,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this

issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of July 26, 1935, being Opinion No. 1166.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

1945.

APPROVAL.—BONDS CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED DECEMBER 15, 1936.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 17, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,  
\$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated December 15, 1936. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of December 15, 1936, being Opinion No. 6522.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*