

873.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA  
COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 15, 1937.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$10,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of park bonds in the aggregate amount of \$312,000, dated December 1, 1923, bearing interest at the rate of 4½% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

874.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF DAYTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 15, 1937.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Dayton City School Dist., Montgomery  
County, Ohio, \$10,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of

building and equipment bonds in the aggregate amount of \$385,000, dated June 1, 1930, bearing interest at the rate of 4¼% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

---

875.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO,  
\$28,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 15, 1937.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$28,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of two issues of bonds of the above county dated October 1, 1936. The transcripts relative to these issues were approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your commission under date of October 2, 1936, being Opinion No. 6141.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*