

107.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF MIAMISBURG, MONTGOMERY COUNTY—\$17,900.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 20, 1929.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

108.

APPROVAL, BONDS FOR THE FAITHFUL PERFORMANCE OF THEIR DUTIES AS RESIDENT ENGINEERS—ORTON H. JONES—CHARLES I. McNEAL—R. E. HOUSE—GEORGE R. EVANS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 20, 1929.

HON. ROBERT N. WAID, *Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my consideration four bonds, each for the sum of five thousand dollars, and conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of the principal as resident engineer, as follows:

Orton H. Jones, principal (Wood County), upon which the American Surety Company appears as surety.

Charles I. McNeal, principal (Crawford County), upon which the Northwestern Casualty and Surety Company appears as surety.

R. E. House, principal (Medina County), upon which the Maryland Casualty Company appears as surety.

George R. Evans, principal (Muskingum County), upon which the Columbia Casualty Company appears as surety.

The above bonds are given in pursuance to the provisions of Section 1182 of the General Code, which specifically requires that resident engineers give bond in the amount above indicated with sureties to your approval. The bonds have been properly executed and bear your approval thereon.

It is further noted that in the official roster of the Division of Insurance all of the sureties heretofore mentioned have been duly authorized to transact business in Ohio.

In view of the foregoing, I have approved said bonds as to form and return the same herewith.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,

Attorney General.

109.

CHARTER CITY—EXPENDITURES FOR SERVICES OF SPECIFIC ASSOCIATION PROHIBITED WHERE CHARTER SILENT—LEGALITY OF SUCH A CHARTER PROVISION NOT DECIDED.

SYLLABUS:

In view of the holding in the case of State ex rel. vs. Semple, 112 O. S. 559, a charter city may not legally expend its funds for services and periodicals of an association known as "Conference of Ohio Municipalities" in the absence of specific charter provisions; whether or not such a charter provision could authorize such an expenditure is not decided.