

893.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA  
COUNTY, OHIO, \$19,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 22, 1937.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$19,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of waterworks bonds in the aggregate amount of \$1,200,000, dated March 1, 1914, bearing interest at the rate of 4½ % per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

894.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF EUCLID, CUYAHOGA  
COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 22, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Euclid, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,  
\$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated February 1, 1932. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion

rendered to your board under date of December 31, 1935, being Opinion No. 5069 and also in Opinion No. 4910, dated November 20, 1935, to the Industrial Commission.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

---

895.

APPROVAL.—BONDS OF CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT  
COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 22, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio,  
\$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated December 1, 1926. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Employees Retirement Board under date of February 17, 1936, being Opinion No. 5169.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*