

3174.

APPROVAL, LEASE FOR STATE CANAL LANDS IN MASSILLON, OHIO,
TO W. S. BLOOMBERG.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 5, 1922.

Department of Highways and Public Works, Division of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—I have your letter enclosing for my examination lease in triplicate for canal lands in Massillon, Ohio, granted to W. S. Bloomberg.

I note that the area of the land in question is 6453 square feet, more or less, and that the value placed thereon by your department is \$8,333.34.

I have examined said lease, find the same correct in form and legal, and I am therefore returning it to you with my approval endorsed thereon.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.

3175.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF CITY OF GIRARD, TRUMBULL COUNTY,
\$30,000, FOR ERECTING WATER WORKS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 6, 1922.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

Re: Bonds of the City of Girard, Trumbull county, in the sum of \$30,000 for the purpose of erecting water works in said city.

GENTLEMEN:—I have examined the transcript submitted of the proceedings of the council of the village of Girard relating to the above issue of bonds and find that I am required to disapprove said issue for the following reasons:

(1) By the provisions of the ordinance of the council of said village providing for this issue of bonds the first bond of the series of bonds covering this issue becomes due and payable April 1, 1923, whereas under section 14 of the Griswold Act said first bond should not mature earlier than September 1, 1923.

(2) By the provisions of said ordinance the bonds covering this issue become due and payable semi-annually, whereas under section 14 of the Griswold Act, above referred to, said bonds should mature in annual installments.

In addition to the above objections it is noted that the transcript does not show that any copy of the bond ordinance was certified to the county auditor as required by section 15 of the Griswold Act.

It is likewise noted that although the transcript contains a certificate as to the maximum maturities of the bonds covering this issue, it does not contain a certificate with respect to the estimated life of the improvement, as required by section 2 of the act, above referred to.

By reason of the objections first above noted herein, the above issue of bonds is disapproved and you are advised not to purchase the same.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.