which the above bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,
THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

659.

BONDS—NEW BOSTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, SCIOTO COUNTY, \$22,250.00.

Columbus, Ohio, May 26, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.
Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of New Boston City School District, Scioto County, Ohio, \$22,250.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of school bonds in the aggregate amount of \$22,250, dated April 1, 1939, and bearing interest at the rate of $3\frac{1}{4}\%$ per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which the above bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said city school district.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.

660.

BONDS—CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$10,000.00, SERIES A.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 25, 1939.

Retirement Board, Public Employes Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.
Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$10,000, Series A.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$3,000,000 issue of bridge bonds of the above county dated June 1, 1931. The transcript

822 OPINIONS

relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement Board under date of August 3, 1933, being Opinion No. 1315.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.

661.

BONDS—CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$2,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 26, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Public School Employes Retirement Ssytem, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$2,000.00 (Unlimited).

The above purchase of bonds apears to be part of a \$3,000,000 issue of bridge bonds of the above county dated June 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement Board under date of August 3, 1933, being Opinion No. 1315.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.

662.

BONDS—TOLEDO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, LUCAS COUNTY, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 26, 1939.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Toledo City School District, Lucas County, Ohio, \$5,000 (Limited).

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$900,000 issue of school bonds of the above school district dated January 28, 1915. The