1862

OPINIONS

2727.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND CHARLES W. TAYLOR OF ZANESVILLE, OHIO, FOR PHYSICAL EDUCATION BUILDING AT MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OHIO, AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$178,016.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY OF BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.

Columbus, Ohio, December 29, 1930.

HON. ALBERT T. CONNAR, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Board of Trustees of Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, and Charles W. Taylor of Zanesville, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of general contract for a building known as Physical Education Building for Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, as set forth in Item No. 1; Item No. 12 (Alt. G-2); Item No. 14 (Alt. G-3); Item No. 15 (Alt. G-4); Item No. 16 (Alt. G-5); Item No. 17 (Alt. G-6); Item No. 18 (Alt. G-7); Item No. 20 (Alt. G-9); and Item No. 26 (Alt. G-15) of the Form of Proposal dated November 18, 1930. Said contract calls for an expenditure of one hundred and seventy-eight thousand and sixteen dollars (\$178,016.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also shown that the Controlling Board has approved the expenditure as required by Section 11 of House Bill No. 510 of the 88th General Assembly. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company of Baltimore, Maryland, appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

2728.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND THE BROOKE ELECTRIC COMPANY OF COLUMBUS, OHIO, FOR ELECTRICAL WORK FOR ADDITIONS TO BOTANY AND ZOOLOGY BUILDING AT OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$5,065.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 29, 1930.

HON. ALBERT T. CONNAR, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works for the Board of Trustees of the

Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, and the Brooke Electric Company of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of electrical contract (Division 3, Item 15), as set forth in the General Conditions of the Specifications for Additions to Botany and Zoology Building on the campus of Ohio State University, and covered by the Form of Proposal dated November 28, 1930. Said contract calls for an expenditure of five thousand and sixty-five dollars (\$5,065.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also shown that the Controlling Board has approved the expenditure as required by Section 11 of House Bill No. 510 of the 88th General Assembly. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Indemnity Insurance Company of North America appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

2729.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND THE HUFFMAN-WOLFE COMPANY OF COLUMBUS, OHIO, FOR HEATING AND PLUMBING FOR ADDITIONS TO BOTANY AND ZOOLOGY BUILDING AT OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$36,532.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY OF NEW YORK.

Columbus, Ohio, December 29, 1930.

HON. ALBERT T. CONNAR, Shuperintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

Dear Sir:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Board of Trustees of the Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, and the Huffman-Wolfe Company of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of heating and plumbing contract (Division 2, Items 13 and 14), as set forth in the general conditions of the specifications for additions to Botany and Zoology Building on the campus of Ohio State University, and covered by the Form of Proposal dated November 28, 1930. Said contract calls for an expenditure of thirty-six thousand five hundred and thirty-two dollars (\$36,532.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have shown that the Controlling Board has approved the expenditure as required by Section 11 of House Bill 510 of the 88th General Assembly. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond, upon which the Southern Surety Company of New York appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required